Exhibit 12

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
2	COLUMBIA DIVISION
3	
	THE SOUTH CAROLINA)
4	STATE CONFERENCE OF)
	THE NAACP, et al.,)
5)
)
6	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 3:21-CV-03302-MGL-
) TJH-RMG
7	vs.)
)
8	THOMAS C.)
	ALEXANDER, et al.,)
9)
)
10	Defendants.)
)
11	
12	
13	
14	Videotaped Remote Deposition of
15	SENATOR GEORGE EARLE CAMPSEN, III
16	(Taken by Plaintiffs)
17	Isle of Palms, South Carolina
18	Friday, August 5, 2022
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported in Stenotype by
	Lauren M. McIntee, RPR, CRR
25	Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription

			Page 2	
			Page 2	
1			APPEARANCES	
2	ON	BEHALF	OF THE PLAINTIFFS:	
3			John S. Cusick, Esquire (via Zoom)	
			Leah C. Aden, Esquire (via Zoom)	
4			NAACP Legal Defense & Educational Fund, Inc.	
			40 Rector Street, 5th Floor	
5			New York, New York 10006	
			(212) 965-7715	
6			Jcusick@naacpldf.org	
			Laden@naacpldf.org	
7				
			-and-	
8				
			Adriel Cepeda, Esquire (via Zoom)	
9			American Civil Liberties Union Foundation	
			125 Broad Street, 18th Floor	
10			New York, New York 10004	
			(212) 549-2500	
11			acepedaderieux@aclu.org	
12	037	DEUATE	OF THE SENATE DEFENDANTS:	
13	ON	BEHALF	OF THE SENATE DEFENDANTS:	
13			Wandman Canliela Enguisak III Faguina (mia 7aan	. \
14			Vordman Carlisle Traywick, III, Esquire (via Zoom Cynthia Nygord, Esquire (via Zoom))
1.4			Robinson Gray, LLC	
15			1310 Gadsden Street	
13			Columbia, South Carolina 29201	
16			(803) 231-7845	
			Ltraywick@robinsongray.com	
17			Cnygord@robinsongray.com	
18			-and-	
19			John M. Gore, Esquire (via Zoom)	
			Jones Day	
20			51 Louisiana Avenue NW,	
			Washington, District of Columbia 20001	
21			(202) 879-3939	
			Jmgore@jonesday.com	
22				
23				
24				
25				

	Page 3
1	ON BEHALF OF THE ELECTION DEFENDANTS:
2	Jane W. Trinkley, Esquire (via Zoom)
_	Burr & Forman, LLP
3	1221 Main Street, Suite 1800
	Columbia, South Carolina 29201
4	(803) 753-3241
	Jtrinkley@burr.com
5	
6	ON BEHALF OF THE HOUSE DEFENDANTS:
7	Rhett Ricard, Esquire (via Zoom)
	Nexsen Pruet, LLC
8	205 King Street, Suite 400
	Charleston, South Carolina 29401
9	(843) 720-1707
	Rricard@nexsenpruet.com
10	
	ALSO PRESENT:
11	
	Brendan Rolland (via Zoom)
12	
	Michael Kirby, Videographer (via Zoom)
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 4 VIDEOTAPED REMOTE DEPOSITION OF SENATOR GEORGE EARLE CAMPSEN, III, a witness called on behalf of Plaintiffs, before Lauren M. McIntee, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public, in and for the State of North Carolina, in Isle of Palms, South Carolina, on Friday, August 5, 2022, commencing at 9:10 a.m.

		Pa	age 5		
1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS			
2	By Mr. Cusi	ckP	age 9		
	By Mr. Tray	wick	age 210		
3					
4					
5 6	INDEX OF EXHIBITS				
	NUMBER	EXHIBIT	MARKED		
7	Exhibit 1	Subpoena	46		
8	Exhibit 2	Senator George Campsen's	49		
9	DANIE Z	Objections and Responses to	43		
		Plaintiffs' Subpoena to Produce			
10		Documents, Information, or Objects			
		or to Permit Inspection of			
11		Premises in a Civil Action			
12	Exhibit 3	Text Messages	57		
		(SCSENATE_00027301 to 27316)			
13					
- 4	Exhibit 4		111		
14		Submissions, South Carolina Senate			
15		Judiciary Committee Redistricting Subcommittee			
10		(SCSENATE 00003723 to 3724)			
16		(333211112_00000120 00 01117			
	Exhibit 5	Email Exchange Ending on the First	114		
17		Page From Lynn Teague to Paula			
		Benson, Dated September 30, 2021			
18		(SCSENATE_00003749 to 3751)			
19	Exhibit 6	Email Exchange Ending on the First Page From Lynn Aden to Senate	116		
20		Redistricting, et al., Dated October 8, 2021			
21		(SCSENATE_00003798 to 3834)			
22	Exhibit 7	2021 Redistricting Guidelines,	118		
		South Carolina Senate Judiciary			
23		Committee, Redistricting Subcommittee			
		(SCSENATE_00003721 to 3722)			
24	-	T 1 0011 C 0001 T	404		
2 E	Exhibit 8	July 20th of 2021 Hearing Transcript	124		
25		(SCNAACP_CD_011429 to 11485)			

			Page 6
			rage o
1		EXHIBITS (Cont'd)	
2	NUMBER	EXHIBIT	MARKED
3	Exhibit 9	September 13, 2021, Email From	157
		Madison Faulk to Maura Baker with	
4		Attachments	
_		(SCSENATE_00022919 to 22925)	
5	- 1 :1 :	T 1 1011 0 1 7 1'	150
_	Exhibit 10		159
6		Hearing	
7		(SCNAACP_CD_011844 to 11843)	
,	Ewhihi+ 11	Staff Subcommittee Plan Map	163
8	EXHIDIC II	Stall Subcommittee Flam Map	103
0	Exhibit 12	November 29th, 2021, Hearing	171
9	EXHIDIC 12	Transcript	1/1
9		(SCNAACP CD 011729 to 11934)	
10		(50,11,101_05_011,125_00_11,534)	
	Exhibit 13	January 5, 2022, Email From Will	174
11		Roberts to Senator Campsen	
		(SCSENATE 00022581 to 22584)	
12		•	
	Exhibit 14	Text Messages	177
13		(SCSENATE 00027173 to 27174)	
14	Exhibit 15	Email Exchange Ending on the First	178
		Page From Breeden John to Chip	
15		Campsen, et al., Dated October 8,	
		2021, with Attachment	
16		(SCSENATE_00022547 to 22549)	
17	Exhibit 16	January 11, 2022, Email From	180
		Breeden John to Chip Campsen, et	
18		al., with Attachment	
		(SCSENATE_00022561 to 22564)	
19		- 11 0000 - 11 -	101
20	Exhibit 17	<u> </u>	181
20		Michelle McGee to Luke Rankin, et al	L.
21		(SCSENATE_00022556 to 22557)	
21	Exhibit 18	January 13, 2022, Hearing	188
22	EXHIDIC 10	Transcript	100
~ ~		(SCNAACP CD 011935 to 12054)	
23		(BCMACI_CD_011933 to 12034)	
_3	Exhibit 19	January 17, 2022, Email From	193
24		Robert Oppermann to Andy Fiffick	
		with Attachment	
25		(SCSENATE 00003269 to 3277)	
		-	

			Page 7
1		EXHIBITS (Cont'd)	
2	NUMBER	EXHIBITS	MARKED
3	Exhibit 20	List of Written Testimony Received	196
		After Announcement of Meeting,	
4		January 11, 2022	
		(SCSENATE_00023933 to 24040)	
5			
	Exhibit 21	January 16, 2022, Email From Will	197
6		Roberts to Andy Fiffick with	
		Attachment	
7		(SCSENATE_000022528 to 22530)	
8	Exhibit 22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	199
		Breeden John to Chip Campsen, et	
9		al., Zoom Invite	
		(SCSENATE_00022510)	
10			
	Exhibit 23	January 18, 2022, Email From Paula	199
11		Benson to Chip Campsen, et al.,	
		with Attachment	
12		(SCSENATE_000022356 to 22364)	
13	Exhibit 24	January 18, 2022, Email From Luke	201
		Rankin to Andy Fiffick with	
14		Attachment	
4 -		(SCSENATE_00022289 to 22291)	
15		- 40 0000 1	224
	Exhibit 25	January 19, 2022, Hearing	204
16		Transcript	
1		(SCNAACP_CD_013197 to 13264)	
17	Dubibit OC	T	007
10	EXNIDIT 26	January 20, 2022, Hearing	207
18 19		Transcript	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 8 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record at 9:10 a.m. 2 This is the deposition of Senator George 3 E. Campsen, III. This deposition is being held on August 5th, 2022. The court reporter is Lauren 4 5 McIntee. The videographer is Michael Kirby. court reporter will now do the swearing. Participating attorneys 7 THE COURT REPORTER: 8 recognize that all parties, including the witness 9 and court reporter, are participating remotely. 10 lieu of an oath administered in person, the witness 11 will verbally declare that their testimony in this 12 deposition is under penalty of perjury and will be 13 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 14 truth. 15 Counsel stipulate that all objections to the 16 remote participation are waived. Please state your 17 name and indicate your agreement on the record. MR. TRAYWICK: Lisle Traywick agrees on 18 behalf of the Senate defendants. 19 20 MR. CUSICK: John Cusick agrees behalf of 21 plaintiffs. 22 MS. TRINKLEY: Jane Trinkley on behalf of the Election defendants. 23 24 THE COURT REPORTER: If that's all, counsel, 25 you may proceed.

Page 9 1 MR. CUSICK: Thank you. 2 **EXAMINATION** 3 BY MR. CUSICK: 4 Good morning, Senator Campsen. How are you Q. 5 doing today? Good morning. Doing fine. 6 Α. Thank you. 7 My name is John Cusick. I'm one of the Q. 8 attorneys representing the plaintiffs in this lawsuit, 9 The South Carolina State Conference of the NAACP versus 10 Alexander. If you could please state your full name for 11 the record, spelling your last name. 12 Α. George Earle Campsen, III. C-A-M-P-S-E-N. 13 0. Great. Thank you. 14 MR. CUSICK: And I'd like to just briefly go 15 around the virtual room, if you will, for any 16 attorneys planning to make an appearance today. And 17 we can begin with House defendants. 18 Hearing none, I will turn to the Election 19 defendants, Ms. Trinkley. 20 MS. TRINKLEY: We're here. 21 MR. CUSICK: Great. And anyone else from the 22 Senate defendants? 23 MR. TRAYWICK: Lisle Traywick here on behalf 24 of the Senate defendants, and I believe John Gore is 25 participating remotely as well.

- A. I think I probably did, yeah. I'm not sure, but I really can't recall, but perhaps.
- Q. Based on your understanding, if you supported --
- A. You can't -- keeping Charleston whole is a misnomer because Charleston wasn't whole in the first place. It hadn't been whole since 1992. So that is a misnomer. You're not keeping it whole. It's not whole. It wasn't whole to begin with.
- Q. For people you reached out to for Beaufort to be in CD1 and to be whole, wouldn't they also have had an interest in the Senate Amendment 2 plan that kept Beaufort County whole, kept it in CD1?

MR. TRAYWICK: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: You want me to answer that now?

MR. TRAYWICK: Yeah, sorry. You can answer.

A. Well, I -- I think I verbally communicated to her like that on the phone, that there was -- there's another amendment that will do that, but that's not going to go with Berkeley County, the one with the tri -- and Dorchester and the Tri-County area. They're going to protest that. And at this point, I don't even -- I'm not even sure that Senator Harpootlian's amendment had been formulated yet. I'm not -- I can't

recall. But I knew, at least I knew in the abstract that that may happen, but that's probably not going to fly because you're going to have a big pushback from Berkeley and Dorchester County.

BY MR. CUSICK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But if -- if the primary purpose of folks who were interested in Beaufort County being in CD1, from your understanding and interactions, that would have also been consistent with supporting Senate Amendment 2 that did that same thing --
 - A. No. Because I told --
 - Q. -- regardless --
- A. No, because in my political judgment, that wasn't going to pass. And so, because you would have a big pushback from those -- from those counties. And so don't vote for something that at the end of the day is probably not going to pass. Vote for something that keeps Beaufort in the 1st that will pass.
- Q. But this is before you had a public hearing on either of the two amendments, correct?
- A. I'm not sure. I can't remember the -- the dates that we had hearings. Perhaps it was.
 - Q. So when you said --
- A. But I knew this was coming. I mean, I knew what was coming. I had a sense of what was coming.

This -- as I recall, again I hadn't seen the whole text chain, but I think in that chain, the first thing I do is let -- is let Xiaodan know that, y'all testified at the House. You can't sit on your laurels because the Senate is a different body making a different plan. You need to testify in the Senate too. Make -- make the same case you made to the Senate that you made to the House. Most people don't understand the process, and so I just knew that they were interested enough to show up and testify in the House; then you -- you need to testify in the Senate.

- Q. So was it your understanding before the Senate Amendment 2 plan was proposed to members of the public that there was no scenario in which it would -- it would pass the Senate?
- A. It was my judgment, my political judgment that you would have a hard time passing a plan that put Berkeley, Dorchester in the 7th district with the Pee Dee and the Grand Strand. There is no community of interest whatsoever, whereas Charleston, Berkeley, Dorchester are -- they're even -- they're called the Tri-County area. They've been the Tri-County area for well over a century. We have the Tri-County Association of Realtors. We have the Tri-County Council of Governments. You have Tri-County Chamber of Commerce.

It's -- it's the port. It's the, now the industrial logistics and distribution facilities up I-26 in the Nexton area and Summerville.

It's an integrated economic unit. And I just knew that there would be a big pushback on Berkeley and Dorchester for -- for taking them out of the 1st and putting them into a district with Horry County and -- and -- and the Pee Dee.

- Q. And so --
- A. With no connection whatsoever. No community of interest whatsoever there.
- Q. And so here the text string continues. This is the second one that was produced. Do you see that?
- A. Yeah, I can see it, but I hadn't read it. I didn't get to read it.
- Q. Yeah, I'm not -- I mean, I'm not going to ask you questions. I just wanted to show you that -- to just -- so you can see that -- the full thread as I'm scrolling through. Unfortunately, there's no date on this one based on the screenshot, just the time. But I just want you to see that one as well.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. This one has a January 13th one. It looks like it might -- might have been part of the other one that was -- was not fully clipped.